

Authors' reply

Benedetto Farina

Claudio Imperatori

Department of Human Sciences, European University of Rome,
Rome, Italy

Correspondence: Claudio Imperatori
Università Europea di Roma, Via degli Aldobrandeschi 190, Rome
00163, Italy
Email imperatori.c@libero.it

Dear editor

We have carefully read the letter of Aadil and Shoaib and wish to thank the authors for the valuable feedback. They highlighted the importance of clinicians correctly recognizing and evaluating dissociative experiences. We totally agree with them and would like to extend their comments on the diagnostic challenges of dissociation.

What if dissociation were a psychopathological dimension related to trauma?

Although dissociation has been extensively explored in the last century, both in theoretical debates and in empirical research, it is far from having a unique definition, and its psychopathology presents controversial issues. One confounding factor could be the multiple meanings of the term “dissociation”. It is used to define at least three different, albeit related, concepts, namely, a diagnostic category (ie, dissociative disorders), a varying group of psychopathological phenomena and symptoms (eg, depersonalization or amnesia), and pathogenic processes caused by traumatic experiences that interfere with the integration of high-order mental functions.¹

Not by chance, as mentioned by Aadil and Shoaib, in the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (DSM-5), dissociation is defined as the loss of high-order integrative capacities of the human mind, specifically the “disruption of and/or discontinuity in the normal integration” of different mental functions, such as “consciousness, memory, identity, emotion, perception, body representation, motor control, and behavior”.²

This definition leads to the association of the word “dissociative” with a wide range of different psychopathological phenomena, from alterations of self-consciousness, such as detachment symptoms (eg, derealization/depersonalization), to fragmentation of self-experiences, such as compartmentalization symptoms (eg, amnesia, motor control), and even to a sudden loss of control on emotions and behavior. The latter psychopathological manifestations, although not considered

typical dissociation, are very common in people suffering from trauma-related disorders, such as borderline personality disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), or complex PTSD, and are supposed to be generated by disintegrative processes.^{3,4}

The complexity and variety of dissociative manifestations, as indicated in the DSM-5 definition, are related to the variety of functions impaired by trauma. For all these reasons, we are contemplating on the opportunity to reinsert in psychopathology, the term traumatic “disintegration”, anticipated by Janet⁵ at the beginning of the 20th century (*désagrégation* in French, 1901), and as lately proposed by several scholars.^{1,3}

In any case, as Aadil and Shoaib stated, Dissociative Experiences Scale (DES) is a valid tool to assess the frequency of various types of dissociative experiences (detachment, compartmentalization), but not for all dissociative symptoms. Somatoform dissociation, loss of control on emotions and behavior, and other atypical dissociative phenomena⁶ may require additional diagnostic instruments. Otherwise, some clinicians could run the risk of neglecting the traumatic–dissociative origin of these psychopathological manifestations.

In their letter, Aadil and Shoaib also underlined the high prevalence of dissociative symptoms among psychiatric patients. Comorbidities with dissociative phenomena seem to characterize patient subgroups with histories of traumatic development and low response to treatment. An increasing amount of empirical controlled data supporting this hypothesis are available for schizophrenia, several personality disorders, mood disorders, somatoform disorders, obsessive–compulsive disorder, substance use disorders, eating disorders, and anxiety disorders.¹ The evidence has led some scholars to hypothesize that trauma-based dissociation should also be considered a psychopathological dimension. This dissociative or traumatic–dissociative dimension, when associated with other disorders, has been hypothesized and partially demonstrated to worsen prognosis and lead to specific therapeutic difficulties.¹ In our opinion, these are new challenges in the diagnosis of traumatic dissociation.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

1. Farina B, Liotti G. Does a dissociative psychopathological dimension exist? A review on dissociative processes and symptoms in developmental trauma spectrum disorders. *Clin Neuropsychol*. 2013;10(1):11–18.